

86-1088

Canton v Harris

'1983 libel for police's failure to provide med care to emotionally or psych upset arrestee who tripped over handcuffs

Suit vs city, officials, police

City Reps state personal S b taken to hospital but

Testi to shift commander had sole discretion on pers absent

NOE v any training

Jury found negt unres domed med atten & ga had a cell
cb + 1st + 2nd + 3rd + 4th + 5th + 6th + 7th + 8th + 9th + 10th + 11th + 12th but Rk on other geds. for a NT

It had prove lack v training was grossly negli as to recruit in court vial

here a pol v letty shift commander decide to refer, but w/ no training

Des - need a stan v delib indifference - spec train v use

I use DIG on +

A DIG. No objection to + med on grass neg; only on R Superior

We ∴ no argmt on issue def for the in cert jcti

B Results. For a scoldat thin for libel.

In Kuba, 4 in des (O-W-P-C^RT)'s failure to train had to = delib unres
or reckles disregard, before libel may b found.

Grass negl in fact + train police adequately is all that is nec

officers found
not libel