

Ventilla (Petr)

Argues ~~to~~ Ohio state law.

Agrees all claims could have been filed in state court - replying to 50'c.

Argues Congressional intent in enacting 1983 entitled TT right to go to fed ct. regardless of state law.

No present rule in Ohio would bar this second suit.

(BRW noted that DC here did not decide Ohio law - but as WHR noted the DC did say Const. claims would be "barred" in state ct. - see A 29, 30)

~~Mass~~

Messenger (Resp)

Should look to Ohio law.

(Counsel "blew it" in responding to B.R.W.'s Q as to whether fed. cts could rely on fed preclusion regardless of state law).

9 PS referred