

The Chief Justice doesn't make  
whether bar is also in  
several law - res judicata  
does bar

White, J. Didn't look at  
state law but are  
independent federal  
rule - that's enough for  
me 1738 doesn't  
foreclose federal court  
from doing so

Marshall, J. Tentative to  
affirm along ERW lines

Blackmun, J. In Allen I thought  
1985 plaintiff had no  
change of forum because  
left in criminal case  
Does 1738 conflict  
with any 1983 policy?  
I vote to affirm  
y case done narrowly

Powell, J. *Allen v. Kremen*  
principles central here  
Federal rule was  
enacted by 1738 +  
I'd adopt it.

Rehnquist, J. That it would be  
federal law + would not  
have it by reason of  
1738. So I affirm

Stevens, J. It applied federal  
rule. If for law 1738  
apply state rule -  
shouldn't we obey it  
accept that can't bring  
1983 suit ~~without~~  
even if case in state  
courts. Prior cases  
require state law +  
Rule 10 doesn't  
analyze state law  
So agree with W 13

O'Connor, J. 1738 contemplated  
state law + may be  
can say I'd rely on  
it. If can't I could  
vacate + remand for  
decision of state law