4

To: The Chief Justice
Justice Brennan
Justice White
Justice Marshall
Justice Blackmun
Justice Powell
Justice Rehnquist
Justice Stevens

From: Justice O'Conno

Circulated: MAR 7 1986

Recirculated:

1st DRAFT

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 84-1160

BERTOLD J. PEMBAUR, PETITIONER v. CITY OF CINCINNATI ET AL.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

[March ---, 1986]

JUSTICE O'CONNOR, concurring in the judgment.

For the reasons stated by JUSTICE WHITE, I agree that the municipal officers here were acting as policy makers within the meaning of Monell v. New York City Dept. of Social Services, 436 U.S. 658 (1978). As respondent freely conceded, forcible entry of third-party property to effect an arrest was standard operating procedure in May, 1977. That procedure was consistent with federal, state and local law at the time the case arose. Moreover, under state law as definitively construed by the Court of Appeals, the county officials who opted for the forcible entry "had the authority to approve or disapprove such entries." Ante, at ---- (WHITE J., concurring). Thus, with JUSTICE WHITE, I agree that "this election sufficiently manifested county policy to warrant reversal in this case." Id., at ---. Because, however, I believe that the reasoning of the majority goes beyond that necessary to decide the case, and because I fear that the standard the majority articulates may be misread to expose municipalities to liability beyond that envisioned by the Court in Monell, I concur only in the judgment.