

Architect's demote + layoff. Is + City lead. End 1968-85 = 15 yrs.

Receipt over + yrs was entered. Usually was conducted in whole or in part see the
Sued E.D. Mo vs city + sev supervisors - claimed usual v 7th st

ascertained custom or practice

def was to nonnamed had done + job

Special vdt expounded views but city lead

CS + 7th cl but -'d D.P. Is.

city lead the vdtw Is were n - actions just paid in effect

and was dele + the deci by delgated subd + met

Drs (Ross) E suff. Pol w/ mayor, aldermen + + Comm.

E n suff wnt 7th st

I → -

A. The factual setting of this case is messy. Justice is a mess

And what is + court deal - try on layoff?

No objection by city to + vdtw.

Comm has n get acted on + layoff

∴ cd DIG + o + issues in Little Rock v Williams (by here)

B Lead for "official job"

3-25-86

I joined WJB case in Sanborn - 1983 lead only on a debt charge made

for sev alterns by + official corp for city fund job

Shute 12) v WJB requires official's past usage for fund gtt policy

Two note supports + city here, the 674-C10 decisions.

CS was permit ad hoc deci. of fund, to → lead

the officers here had n b given policy - really auth