

12-7-88

The Chief Justice +

Edelman v J mi is a suit like this
Kurtz, ⁿ Howell
Quinn separates that v. Jy Edelman
We say now Con, did n intend "p"
to include a st
Howell drew + distinct
Cohens v Va also suggests
de mi st officers act in official
cap

Brennan, J. -

I don't ^{know} know but my part here
is n depend on the
do stat create c/a vs st? yes + this
consult w' Quinn

White, J. +

As an original mat, not a lot closer
People to so regard

Marshall, J. -

5 +
1 ±
3 -

Blackmun, J. -

Stevens, J. + mpt - ? mpt

Nat rest

Sent as State, CJ's mem ho + letter
In 1870, sanction politics in
hands - Regulo

Gov comm in need accepted

I am n so sure ca ps sent in
~~other~~ ^{other} ~~copy~~ ^{copy}

Days assumed sent as tel us
poss

as to this - ?

Have just wrong

O'Connor, J. +

171 Copy wd b surprised
2rem supports

Scalia, J. +

was so c

the sa unless for best

Kennedy, J. +

me of

n wholly loyal