

Petitioner has died while attempting to flee a search line. He worked for city  
§ 1983 action alleges a job & debt indifference  
 (WB Tex. decd U Rule 12(b)(6))

C5 + 'd.

Causing b/c a KCC's job & const. deprivation U 1983 is not so suff.

In C5 to want also b an abuse of govt power.

To us no → - - - here

I wd -.

✓ Only 2 arguments for 1983 claim 1) D deprived it & a rt sec'd by t  
 Court or laws o US, & 2) such was achieved U color o law

Nothing in LH suggests Edds as a class r t b denied 1983 fed rem.

C5 has overreached b this d's use o "abuse o govt power" lang

He hv never tried to harm by t govt in t role o govt

There is no dispute ta t actions here were U color o law

C5 no valid issue whr it deprived o a court rt. Remanded for t

If we a ta issue: It's compl focuses on rt t b free from unreasonableness

(bd she pleaded loss o life, she went t court threshold)

Respect d/s no acrd o wt rts of govt is an Err.

DeShaney is n adverse: Here, per city job, city sent dt

into a gas-filled sewer, Poisoned by city, sans a <sup>MASK</sup> mask

or know of t danger. ∵ played a pt in t creation o t danger.

Let us hve no add'l barriers to 1983 leti.

City is immune U Tex law & thus is really n asky t b treated as a <sup>private Err</sup> 1

Proc d/P. Alleges n suff t survive a 12(b)(6) mtn.

a lab int in a safe work place U TX adt

11-4-91  
We lost +  
I am down w/ the flu  
Note: more here to follow