

11-4-77

The Chief Justice

jass
Monroe says n ps

Brennan, J. —

What we have in Sch. Bd
 ? City we had described Monroe on gnd
 City n assign for acts v t state ①
 Bd Educ S b def.
 1983, cases, estimate, S b n reversed
 school

Stewart, J. —

jass
 Is Sch. Bd 1983 P?
 Can Sch b forced to pay, if so, on ration
 as impubers?
 No conclude
 City can n b sued on Report despite
 - this Monroe
 Entirely can b sued for direct acts
 by itself. This accounts in all
 school desegregation cases. N on Report
 Superior or Sherman Am Theo
 Here may b a good Wood S defense
 ∴ do n get to interests
 This places a gloss on Monroe. In
 dy so, he + nccy, Brooks did n pass.

very doubtful - much as Pass

White, J. —

Wd n attend Monroe. Not wd n he joined it.
 N for this inter
 1983 intended to impose deal on act
 n dy precisely what entitled to do.
 Do n reach indiv
 Am n sure, I differ from PS.

Marshall, J. —

Do we get to under members.

+

all + in

Powell, J. — ?

77 right in Monroe

It supports by legs here

Much in what PS says.

Sanmy to PS need

Repeats Monroe & Kenosha

Rehnquist, J. +

In such cases, it never raised, &
might relief granted proper and
for the more it's at
"Person" might only with damages
Monroe debatable as to police actg
A color & " " correction & +
Sherman Am.

77 doesn't move some. Stan expect
we make no def in this case.

leave for Com. A MC acts
only then in law. Can show
there.

Set Rd here has special power. A MC
a S in b sued. To attack members
and to an end run. A b singular

Stevens, J. —

3 Ct we look back & say Sol Rd in a person.
Monroe - Mon ~ police officer cases
Here a wholly def kind of activity
& so too with Stan.

If Monroe is + b Rd, do it on
kind v actor. (police vs running sch)
This def for PS.