Supreme Court of the United States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE BYRON R. WHITE

April 29, 1978

Re: 75-1914 - Monell v. Department of Social Services of City of New York

Dear Bill,

As I have told you, Part II is in general quite all right with me, and I now think I would include it whether there are five for it or not. The amendments to footnotes 55 and 60 suggested in your letter to Potter of April 25 are definitely an improvement. I would also prefer what you orally suggested to me today, namely, that you drop footnote 57.

Sincerely yours,

Zymi

Mr. Justice Brennan

Copies to the Conference

3.11- I take it that under Part II, a city would not be hable for deliberate

udifferent or "replique", even if its frieds were, unless it wo word official

policy I the oily to be indifferent a negligent. That would steem a difficult

matter to lotelish.

I hat