

3 Apr 81

The Chief Justice —

Compens claim & suff deterrence

Brennan, J. +

No comply w/ rule 51

De + on no plain error

∴ plain error issue w B/L us
(the pass at a straw was so unclear)

Stewart, J. —

Did ct look @ +? carefully
CA led more to just dismiss + issue
Try that it is error at all.
a stumpy block, but possible
on merits, —

White, J. —

We saw + state v + see at 4/6
a fed case & we let + pass
I do not need to say plain error

Marshall, J. +

W.C. W.B. !

Powell, J. -

I have a MC bond
& no like Hibantel
Plan error? does
- for now
who is by deterred?

Rehnquist, J. -

Rehnquist, J. took up + issue in detail
can't tell his tho
A comment on + merits
- on merits

Stevens, J. +

DJ twice relied on also v objection
This shd n obj + ca.
CA held no plan error
Hate to - on to
Need stringent rule on your dam
vs his
Can say never
+ on W.B. theory