Mr. Justice:

Re: Nos. 87-2084 & 88-214, <u>Jett</u> v. <u>Dallas Independent School</u> <u>District</u>

SOC has let Andrew McBride do it again. This time its an effort to write the definitive history of §§1981 and §1983. This effort leads to a rather unusual result: §1981 does not provide a private right of action against public parties, but only against private parties. Given the fact that the conservatives were ready to overrule Runyon and hold that §1981 did not provide a cause of action against private parties but applied only to government action, SOC's opinion in Jett is truly bizarre.

WJB will be writing a dissenting opinion. You were with WJB at Conference, and I recommend that you wait for his dissent.

Ned