

87-1207

Will v Michigan Dent

1983 - who a St & its officials (so sued) r "persons"

Such as Mich Dept & its Director

Tot 1. State is real person - It is

2. Is it an bar a claim aware?

Where bcc st stat gives Ct Cls ID + deter all cl. i. waiver

3. Who lit claim.

Much Ga - d

1. Ct Cl has ID

2 But St is n a person u 1983. SCt did n receive in

Zuern v Jordan 440/332 (Def reach per dictum - I joined)

Wd b so only of long meant + abolish sov univer, or St connects

3 Officials r "ps"; but removed to @ community

Much SCt + 455

± Neither St nor official is a "p" - Zuern did n resolve +?

Ad long so intended, it wd be set up a fed-ct rem.

Dis wps s Sts r "ps"

Sov univer is n bar 1983 we fund fed court rts r at issue

I wd -

? is open. Zuern did n say a St is n a p.

Ct tñ was driven by a concern for St interests

Ct has b St cd b sued u 1983 if it received univer u 11Am

this implies a St is a "p" for 1983.

straight constr → St as a p. No hard & fast line

Dictionary Act '71 s "p" includes bodies politic & corporate

But 1871 ratio clg is bothersome. Yet n absolute

I go for broad reading

This is not to
course
b-3^o 5-4

10

70

no

11 or little cover

Env here + so it's up to —

? or immunity remains.

I would leave this far + let it on demand.

—
1 Dec 88